arXiv:0712.4238v2 [hep-th] 4 Jul 2008

On the "scattering law" for Kasner parameters appearing in asymptotics of an exact S-brane solution

V.D. Ivashchuk[1] **and V.N. Melnikov**[2]

Center for Gravitation and Fundamental Metrology, VNIIMS, 46 Ozyornaya ul., Moscow 119361, Russia
Institute of Gravitation and Cosmology, Peoples' Friendship University of Russia, 6 Miklukho-Maklaya ul., Moscow 117198, Russia

Abstract

A multidimensional cosmological model with scalar and form fields [1, 2, 3, 4] is studied. An exact S-brane solution (either electric or magnetic) in a model with l scalar fields and one antisymmetric form of rank $m \geq 2$ is considered. This solution is defined on a product manifold containing n Ricci-flat factor spaces $M_1, ..., M_n$. In the case when the kinetic term for scalar fields is positive definite we singled out a special solution governed by the function $cosh$. It is shown that this special solution has Kasner-like asymptotics in the limits $\tau \to +0$ and $\tau \to +\infty$, where τ is a synchronous time variable. A relation between two sets of Kasner parameters α_∞ and α_0 is found. This relation, named as "scattering law" (SL) formula, is coinciding with the "collision law" (CL) formula obtained previously in [5] in a context of a billiard description of S-brane solutions near the singularity. A geometric sense of SL formula is clarified: it is shown that SL transformation is a map of a "shadow" part of the Kasner sphere S^{N-2} ($N = n+l$) onto "illuminated" part. This map is just a (generalized) inversion with respect to a point v located outside the Kasner sphere S^{N-2}. The shadow and illuminated parts of the Kasner sphere are defined with respect to a point-like source of light located at v. Explicit formulae for SL transformations corresponding to $SM2$- and $SM5$-brane solutions in 11-dimensional supergravity are presented.

---

[1] rusg@phys.msu.ru
[2] melnikov@phys.msu.su

1 Introduction

In [6] a multidimensional model describing the cosmological "evolution" of n Einstein spaces in the theory with l scalar fields and several antisymmetric forms was considered. When electro-magnetic composite S-brane ansatz was adopted, and certain restrictions on the parameters of the model were imposed, the dynamics of the model near the singularity was reduced to a billiard on the $(N-1)$-dimensional hyperbolic (Lobachevsky) space H^{N-1}, $N = n + l$.

We recall that Kasner-like solutions have the following form

$$g = w d\tau \otimes d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i \tau^{2\alpha^i} g^i, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\varphi^\beta = \alpha^\beta \ln \tau + \varphi_0^\beta, \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i \alpha^i = 1, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i (\alpha^i)^2 + \alpha^\beta \alpha^\gamma h_{\beta\gamma} = 1, \tag{1.4}$$

φ_0^β are constants $i = 1, \dots, n$; $\beta, \gamma = 1, \dots, l$; and $w = \pm 1$.

It was shown in [5] that the set of Kasner parameters (α'^A) after the collision with the s-th wall is defined by the Kasner set before the collision (α^A) according to the following formula

$$\alpha'^A = \frac{\alpha^A - 2U^s(\alpha) U^{sA} (U^s, U^s)^{-1}}{1 - 2U^s(\alpha)(U^s, U^\Lambda)(U^s, U^s)^{-1}}. \tag{1.5}$$

Here $(\alpha^A) = (\alpha^i, \alpha^\beta) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $N = n + l$; U^s is a brane co-vector corresponding to the s-th wall and U^Λ is a co-vector, corresponding to the Λ-term. These vectors and the scalar product $(.,.)$ were defined in [7, 9, 8, 5], see also Section 3 of this paper.

In the special case of one scalar field and 1-dimensional factor-spaces (i.e. $l = d_i = 1$) this formula was suggested earlier in [10]. Another special case of collision law for multidimensional multi-scalar cosmological model with exponential potentials was considered in [11].

In this paper we consider an exact S-brane solution with one brane (either electric or magnetic) in the model with l scalar fields and one antisymmetric form of rank $m \geq 2$ (see Section 2) [15, 16]. This solution is defined on a product manifold containing n Ricci-flat factor spaces. In Section 3 we rewrite the solution in a so-called "minisuperspace-covariant" form that significantly simplifies forthcoming analysis.

In the case when the kinetic term for scalar fields is positive definite one we single out a special solution governed by the *cosh* function. In Section 4 we show that this solution has a Kasner-like asymptotics in both limits $\tau \to +0$ and $\tau \to +\infty$, where τ is the synchronous time variable. We also find a relation between two sets of Kasner parameters α_∞ and α_0. This relation ("scattering law" formula) is coinciding with the CL formula from (1.5).

In Section 5 we clarify the geometric sense of the SL. We have expressed the SL transformation in terms of a function mapping a "shadow" part of the Kasner sphere S^{N-2} onto "illuminated" part. We show that this function is just an inversion with respect to a point v located outside the Kasner sphere S^{N-2}.

In Section 6 we present explicit formulae for SL transformations corresponding to $SM2$- and $SM5$-brane solutions in 11-dimensional supergravity.

2 S-brane solution

Here we deal with a model governed by the action

$$S_g = \int d^Dx \sqrt{|g|} \Big\{ R[g] - h_{\alpha\beta} g^{MN} \partial_M \varphi^\alpha \partial_N \varphi^\beta - \frac{\theta}{m!} \exp[2\lambda(\varphi)] F^2 \Big\} \qquad (2.1)$$

where $g = g_{MN}(x) dx^M \otimes dx^N$ is a metric, $\varphi = (\varphi^\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^l$ is a vector of scalar fields, $(h_{\alpha\beta})$ is a constant symmetric non-degenerate $l \times l$ matrix $(l \in \mathbb{N})$, $\theta = \pm 1$, $F = dA = \frac{1}{m!} F_{M_1 \ldots M_m} dz^{M_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dz^{M_m}$ is a m-form ($m \geq 1$), λ is a 1-form on $\mathbb{R}^l$: $\lambda(\varphi) = \lambda_\alpha \varphi^\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, l$. In (2.1) we denote $|g| = |\det(g_{MN})|$, $F^2 = F_{M_1 \ldots M_m} F_{N_1 \ldots N_m} g^{M_1 N_1} \ldots g^{M_m N_m}$.

For pseudo-Euclidean metric of signature $(-,+,\ldots,+)$ one should put $\theta=1$.

We consider S-brane solution (either electric or magnetic one) to field equations corresponding to the action (2.1) and depending upon one variable u [15, 16] (see also [14, 12, 8]).

This solution is defined on the manifold

$$M=(u_-,u_+)\times M_1\times M_2\times\ldots\times M_n, \tag{2.2}$$

where (u_-,u_+) is an interval belonging to $\mathbb{R}$, and has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} g= [f(u)]^{2d(I)h/(D-2)}\Big)\Big\{ & \exp(2c^0u+2\bar{c}^0)w du\otimes du + \qquad (2.3)\\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\Big([f(u)]^{-2h\delta_I^i}\Big)\exp(2c^iu+2\bar{c}^i)g^i\Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\exp(\varphi^\alpha)=\big(f^{h\chi\lambda^\alpha}\big)\exp(c^\alpha u+\bar{c}^\alpha), \tag{2.4}$$

$$F=Qf^{-2}du\wedge\tau(I),\quad \chi=+1, \tag{2.5}$$

$$=Q\tau(\bar{I}),\quad \chi=-1, \tag{2.6}$$

$w=\pm1$, $\alpha=1,\ldots,l$.

Here and in what follows

$$\chi=+1,-1 \tag{2.7}$$

for electric or magnetic case, respectively, $Q\neq0$ is a constant (charge density parameter) and $\lambda^\alpha=h^{\alpha\beta}\lambda_\beta$ where $(h^{\alpha\beta})=(h_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}$.

In (2.3) $w=\pm1$, $g^i=g^i_{m_in_i}(y_i)dy_i^{m_i}\otimes dy_i^{n_i}$ is a Ricci-flat metric on M_i, $i=1,\ldots,n$,

$$\delta_I^i=\sum_{j\in I}\delta_j^i \tag{2.8}$$

is the indicator of i belonging to I: $\delta_I^i=1$ for $i\in I$ and $\delta_I^i=0$ otherwise.

The set $I=\{i_1,\ldots,i_k\}$ is a subset of $I_0=\{1,\ldots,n\}$. It describes the location of S-brane worldvolume. Here and in what follows

$$\bar{I}\equiv I_0\setminus I. \tag{2.9}$$

All manifolds M_i are assumed to be oriented and connected and the volume d_i-forms

$$\tau_i\equiv\sqrt{|g^i(y_i)|}\;dy_i^1\wedge\ldots\wedge dy_i^{d_i}, \tag{2.10}$$

and parameters

$$\varepsilon(i) \equiv \mathrm{sign}(\det(g^i_{m_i n_i})) = \pm 1 \tag{2.11}$$

are well-defined for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Here $d_i = \dim M_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$; $D = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i$. For any set $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \in I_0$, $i_1 < \dots < i_k$, we denote

$$\tau(I) \equiv \tau_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \tau_{i_k}, \tag{2.12}$$

$$d(I) \equiv \sum_{i \in I} d_i, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\varepsilon(I) \equiv \varepsilon(i_1) \dots \varepsilon(i_k). \tag{2.14}$$

The parameters h appearing in the solution satisfy the relations

$$h = K^{-1}, \tag{2.15}$$

where

$$K = d(I) + \frac{(d(I))^2}{2 - D} + \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta h^{\alpha\beta}. \tag{2.16}$$

Here we assume that $K \neq 0$.

The moduli function reads

$$f(u) = R \sinh(\sqrt{C}(u - u_1)), \ C > 0, \ K\varepsilon < 0; \tag{2.17}$$

$$R \sin(\sqrt{|C|}(u - u_1)), \ C < 0, \ K\varepsilon < 0; \tag{2.18}$$

$$R \cosh(\sqrt{C}(u - u_1)), \ C > 0, \ K\varepsilon > 0; \tag{2.19}$$

$$|Q||K|^{1/2}(u - u_1), \ C = 0, \ K\varepsilon < 0, \tag{2.20}$$

where $R = |Q||K/C|^{1/2}$, and C, u_1 are constants.

Here $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(I)\theta$ for electric case and $\varepsilon = -\varepsilon[g]\varepsilon(I)\theta$ for magnetic case, where $\varepsilon[g] = \mathrm{sign}\det(g_{MN})$.

Vectors $c = (c^A) = (c^i, c^\alpha)$ and $\bar{c} = (\bar{c}^A)$ obey the following constraints

$$\sum_{i \in I} d_i c^i - \chi \lambda_\alpha c^\alpha = 0, \qquad \sum_{i \in I} d_i \bar{c}^i - \chi \lambda_\alpha \bar{c}^\alpha = 0, \tag{2.21}$$

$$c^0 = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j c^j, \qquad \bar{c}^0 = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j \bar{c}^j, \tag{2.22}$$

$$C K^{-1} + h_{\alpha\beta} c^\alpha c^\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i (c^i)^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^n d_i c^i)^2 = 0. \tag{2.23}$$

Due to (2.5) and (2.6), the dimension of brane worldvolume $d(I)$ is defined by

$$d(I) = m - 1, \quad d(I) = D - m - 1, \tag{2.24}$$

for electric and magnetic cases, respectively. For Sp-brane we have $p = p(I) = d(I) - 1$. The solution under consideration is a special one brane case of intersecting S-brane solutions from [14, 15, 16].

3 Minisuperspace-covariant notations

Our solution may be written also in the so-called "minisuperspace-covariant" form following from the sigma-model solution [12].

The metric (2.3) has the structure

$$g = e^{2\gamma_0(u)} du \otimes du + \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{2\phi^i(u)} g^i, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\gamma_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i \phi^i(u). \tag{3.2}$$

Introducing a collective variable $x = (x^A) = (\phi^i, \varphi^\alpha)$ we get a minisuperspace-covariant relation (see (2.3) and(2.4)):

$$x^A(u) = -\frac{U^A}{(U,U)} \ln |f(u)| + c^A u + \bar{c}^A, \tag{3.3}$$

where the function $f(u)$ was defined in (2.17)-(2.20) and $c = (c^A) = (c^i, c^\alpha)$.

The linear and quadratic constraints from (2.21) and (2.23), respectively, read in a minisuperspace covariant form as:

$$U_A c^A = 0, \qquad U_A \bar{c}^A = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\frac{C}{(U,U)} + \bar{G}_{AB} c^A c^B = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Here

$$(U_A) = (d_i \delta^i_I, -\chi \lambda_\alpha), \tag{3.6}$$

is the so-called brane co-vector (U-vector) [7, 9]

$$(U^A) = (\bar{G}^{AB} U_B) = (\delta^i_I - \frac{d(I)}{D-2}, -\chi \lambda^\alpha), \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$(\bar{G}_{AB}) = \begin{pmatrix} G_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & h_{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (\bar{G}^{AB}) = \begin{pmatrix} G^{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & h^{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.8}$$

are, correspondingly, a minisuperspace metric and inverse to it, where (see [17])

$$G_{ij} = d_i \delta_{ij} - d_i d_j, \qquad G^{ij} = \frac{\delta^{ij}}{d_i} + \frac{1}{2-D}. \tag{3.9}$$

In what follows we use a scalar product [7]

$$(U, U') = \bar{G}^{AB} U_A U'_B, \tag{3.10}$$

for $U = (U_A), U' = (U'_A) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $N = n + l$.

In (3.5) we used the relation

$$(U, U) = K. \tag{3.11}$$

The logarithm of the lapse function (3.2) may be also written in the minisuperspace covariant form

$$\gamma_0 = U^\Lambda_A x^A, \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$(U^\Lambda_A) = (d_i, 0) \tag{3.13}$$

is U-vector, corresponding to the Λ-term [7, 9].

We will use also the relations

$$c^0 = U^\Lambda_A c^A, \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$(U, U^\Lambda) = -\frac{d(I)}{D-2}, \tag{3.15}$$

$$(U^\Lambda, U^\Lambda) = -\frac{D-1}{D-2}. \tag{3.16}$$

4 Scattering law for Kasner parameters

Here we restrict our consideration by a special solution with $K = (U,U) > 0$, $C > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We also put the matrix $(h_{\alpha\beta})$ to be positive definite.

In this case the solution is governed by the moduli function $f(u) = R\cosh(\sqrt{C}(u-u_1))$ and is defined for all $u \in (-\infty, +\infty)$.

4.1 Kasner-like behaviour

Let us consider our solution in a synchronous time:

$$\tau = \eta \int_{u_0}^{u} d\bar{u} e^{\gamma_0(\bar{u})}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\eta = \pm 1$, u_0 is constant and

$$e^{\gamma_0(u)} = |f(u)|^{d(I)h/(D-2)} \exp(c^0 u + \bar{c}^0) \tag{4.2}$$

is a lapse function.

Due to

$$f \sim \frac{R}{2} \exp(\pm\sqrt{C}(u-u_1)), \tag{4.3}$$

for $u \to \pm\infty$, we get asymptotical relations for the lapse function

$$e^{\gamma_0} \sim \mathrm{const} \exp(b_{\pm}\sqrt{C}u), \tag{4.4}$$

as $u \to \pm\infty$, with

$$b_{\pm} = \pm\frac{hd(I)}{D-2} + \frac{c^0}{\sqrt{C}}. \tag{4.5}$$

Using relations (3.14), (3.15) and $h = (U,U)^{-1}$ we could rewrite the parameters $b_{\pm}$ in a minisuperspace-covariant form

$$b_{\pm} = \mp\frac{(U^{\Lambda},U)}{(U,U)} + (s,U^{\Lambda}). \tag{4.6}$$

where

$$s = (s_A) = (\bar{G}_{AB}c^B/\sqrt{C}), \tag{4.7}$$

is a co-vector, obeying relations:

$$(s, U) = 0, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\frac{1}{(U,U)} + (s,s) = 0. \tag{4.9}$$

following just from (3.4) and (3.5). In derivation of (4.6) we used the relation

$$c^0 = (s, U^{\Lambda})\sqrt{C}, \tag{4.10}$$

following from (3.14) and (4.7).

In what follows we will use an inequality

$$|(s, U^{\Lambda})| > \frac{|(U^{\Lambda}, U)|}{(U,U)} > 0, \tag{4.11}$$

proved in Appendix. The proof uses relations (4.8), (4.9) and $(U,U) > 0$.

The parameter c^0 is a non-zero one (otherwise the relation (2.23) would be incompatible with the conditions $C > 0$, $K > 0$ and positive definiteness of the matrix $(h_{\alpha\beta})$). It follows from inequality (4.11) that $b_{\pm}$ are also non-zero and

$$\mathrm{sign}(b_{\pm}) = \mathrm{sign}((s, U^{\Lambda})) = \mathrm{sign}(c^0). \tag{4.12}$$

It may be verified that due to (4.11) the lapse function $e^{\gamma_0(u)}$ is monotonically increasing from $+0$ to $+\infty$ for $c^0 > 0$ and monotonically decreasing from $+\infty$ to $+0$ for $c^0 < 0$.

We define synchronous time variable to be

$$\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{u} d\bar{u} e^{\gamma_0(\bar{u})}, \tag{4.13}$$

for $c^0 > 0$ and

$$\tau = \int_{u}^{+\infty} d\bar{u} e^{\gamma_0(\bar{u})}, \tag{4.14}$$

for $c^0 < 0$. Then, the function $\tau = \tau(u)$ is monotonically increasing from $+0$ to $+\infty$ for $c^0 > 0$ and monotonically decreasing from $+\infty$ to $+0$ for $c^0 < 0$.

We have the following asymptotical relations for $\tau = \tau(u)$

$$\tau \sim \mathrm{const}\; b_{\pm}^{-1} \exp(b_{\pm}\sqrt{C}u), \tag{4.15}$$

as $u \to \pm\infty$.

For the collective variable $(x^A) = (\phi^i, \varphi^\alpha)$ from (3.3) we get (see (4.3))

$$x^A(u) \sim \mp \frac{U^A \sqrt{C} u}{(U,U)} + c^A u + \hat{c}^A, \tag{4.16}$$

as $u \to \pm\infty$, where $\hat{c}^A$ are constants. Hence, due to (4.15) we are led to Kasner-like asymptotics written in a minisuperspace covariant form

$$x^A \sim \alpha^A_{\pm} \ln \tau + x^A_{\pm}, \tag{4.17}$$

for $u \to \pm\infty$, where $x^A_{\pm}$ are constants and

$$\alpha^A_{\pm} = [\mp \frac{U^A}{(U,U)} + s^A]/b_{\pm} \tag{4.18}$$

are collective Kasner-like parameters, corresponding to $u \to \pm\infty$.

Asymptotical relations (4.17) could be also rewritten in the form assigned to proper time asymptotics, i.e.

$$x^A \sim \alpha^A_0 \ln \tau + x^A_0, \text{ as } \tau \to +0, \tag{4.19}$$

$$x^A \sim \alpha^A_\infty \ln \tau + x^A_\infty, \text{ as } \tau \to +\infty. \tag{4.20}$$

Here

$$\alpha^A_0 = \alpha^A_-, \quad \alpha^A_\infty = \alpha^A_+ \tag{4.21}$$

for $c^0 > 0$ and

$$\alpha^A_0 = \alpha^A_+, \quad \alpha^A_\infty = \alpha^A_- \tag{4.22}$$

for $c^0 < 0$ and x^A_0 , x^A_∞ are constants.

It follows from definitions of Kasner parameters (4.18) that

$$\bar{G}_{AB} \alpha^A_{\pm} \alpha^B_{\pm} = 0, \tag{4.23}$$

$$U(\alpha_{\pm}) = U_A \alpha^A_{\pm} = \mp \frac{1}{b_{\pm}}, \tag{4.24}$$

$$U^\Lambda(\alpha_{\pm}) = 1, \tag{4.25}$$

see (4.6), (4.8) and (4.9).

In components relations (4.23) and (4.25) read as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i \alpha_{\pm}^{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i (\alpha_{\pm}^{i})^2 + \alpha_{\pm}^{\beta} \alpha_{\pm}^{\gamma} h_{\beta\gamma} = 1. \tag{4.26}$$

Thus, we are led to Kasner-like relations (1.3) and (1.4) for $\alpha_{\pm} = (\alpha_{\pm}^{A})$. Hence, $\alpha_0 = (\alpha_0^A)$ and $\alpha_{\infty} = (\alpha_{\infty}^{A})$ also obey relations (1.3) and (1.4).

So, we obtain a Kasner-like asymptotical behaviour of our special solution (with $C > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$) for i) $\tau \to +0$ and for ii) $\tau \to +\infty$, as well. The Kasner-like behaviour in the case i) is in agreement with the general result from [6].

Using (4.12) and (4.24) we get

$$U(\alpha_0) = U_A \alpha_0^A = \sum_{i \in I} d_i \alpha_0^i - \chi \lambda_\beta \alpha_0^\beta > 0, \tag{4.27}$$

$$U(\alpha_\infty) = U_A \alpha_\infty^A = \sum_{i \in I} d_i \alpha_\infty^i - \chi \lambda_\beta \alpha_\infty^\beta < 0. \tag{4.28}$$

The first inequality (4.27) is a special case of a set of inequalities derived in [6]. Any inequality of such type corresponds to a billiard wall in hyperbolic (e.g. Lobachevsky) space.

4.2 Scattering law

Now we derive a relation between Kasner sets α_0 and α_∞.

We start with the formulas:

$$b_+ \alpha_+ - b_- \alpha_- = -\frac{2U}{(U,U)} \tag{4.29}$$

and

$$b_+ - b_- = -\frac{2(U^\Lambda, U)}{(U,U)} \tag{4.30}$$

following from (4.18) and (4.6), respectively. Using these relations and (4.24) we get

$$\alpha_{\pm}^{A} = \frac{\alpha_{\mp}^{A} - 2U^A U(\alpha_{\mp})(U,U)^{-1}}{1 - 2U(\alpha_{\mp})(U,U^\Lambda)(U,U)^{-1}}. \tag{4.31}$$

This formula gives a scattering law formula for Kasner parameters (see definitions (4.21) and (4.22))

$$\alpha_\infty = \frac{\alpha_0 - 2UU(\alpha_0)(U,U)^{-1}}{1 - 2U(\alpha_0)(U,U^\Lambda)(U,U)^{-1}} = S(\alpha_0). \tag{4.32}$$

coinciding for $U = U^s$ with the collision law formula (1.5) derived in [5].

It should be also noted that due to (4.31) the inverse function S^{-1} is given by just the same relation

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{\alpha_\infty - 2UU(\alpha_\infty)(U,U)^{-1}}{1 - 2U(\alpha_\infty)(U,U^\Lambda)(U,U)^{-1}} = S^{-1}(\alpha_\infty). \tag{4.33}$$

5 Geometric meaning of the scattering law

Let us clarify the geometric meaning of the scattering law. Since the matrix $(h_{\alpha\beta})$ is positive definite the Kasner relations (1.3) and (1.4) describe an ellipsoid isomorphic to a unit $(N-2)$-dimensional sphere S^{N-2} which is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$, $N = n + l$. Thus, the sets of Kasner parameters α may be parametrized by vectors $\vec{n} \in S^{N-2}$, i.e. $\alpha = \alpha(\vec{n})$. Let us show that the scattering law formula (1.5) in terms of $\vec{n}$-vectors reads as follows

$$\vec{n'} = \frac{(\vec{v}^2 - 1)\vec{n} + 2(1 - \vec{v}\vec{n})\vec{v}}{(\vec{v} - \vec{n})^2} \tag{5.1}$$

where $\vec{v}$ is a vector belonging to $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ with $|\vec{v}| > 1$.

Now we proceed with derivation of (5.1). The minisuperspace metric (3.8) has a pseudo-Euclidean signature $(-,+,\dots,+)$, since the matrix (G_{ij}) has the pseudo-Euclidean signature [17] and $(h_{\alpha\beta})$ has the Euclidean one. There exists a linear transformation

$$z^a = e^a_A x^A, \tag{5.2}$$

diagonalizing the minisuperspace metric (3.8)

$$\bar{G}_{AB} = \eta_{ab} e^a_A e^a_B \tag{5.3}$$

where here and in what follows

$$(\eta_{ab}) = (\eta^{ab}) = diag(-1, +1, \dots, +1), \tag{5.4}$$

(e^a_A) is a non-degenerate matrix of linear transformations and $a, b = 0, \dots, N-1$.

The matrix (e^a_A) satisfies the relation

$$\eta^{ab} = e^a_A \bar{G}^{AB} e^b_B = (e^a, e^b), \tag{5.5}$$

equivalent to (5.3) where here $e^a = (e^a_A)$.

For the inverse matrix $(e^A_a) = (e^a_A)^{-1}$ we obtain from (5.5)

$$e^A_a = \bar{G}^{AB} e^b_B \eta_{ba}. \tag{5.6}$$

Here we put as in [6]

$$e^0 = q^{-1} U^{\Lambda}, \tag{5.7}$$

where

$$q = [-(U^{\Lambda}, U^{\Lambda})]^{1/2} = [(D-1)/(D-2)]^{1/2}. \tag{5.8}$$

We remind that U^{Λ} is a time-like co-vector, i.e. $(U^{\Lambda}, U^{\Lambda}) < 0$. (Explicit relations for other co-vectors e^i, $i = 1, \dots, N-1$, are irrelevant for our consideration. A possible choice of e^i is the following one: for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, these co-vectors could be found from relations for z^i of Ref. [17], while for $i = n, \dots, N-1$ these co-vectors could be readily obtained by diagonalization of the matrix $(h_{\alpha\beta})$.)

Let us define a "frame" U-vector:

$$\hat{U}_a = e^A_a U_A = (U, e^b) \eta_{ba}, \tag{5.9}$$

(see (5.6)). It follows from (3.15), (5.7) and (5.9) that

$$\hat{U}_0 = -(U, e^0) = -q^{-1}(U, U^{\Lambda}) > 0. \tag{5.10}$$

We also define "frame" α-parameters:

$$\hat{\alpha}^a = e^a_A \alpha^A. \tag{5.11}$$

In terms of $\hat{\alpha}$-parameters the Kasner relations (written in a "minisuperspace covariant" form)

$$\bar{G}_{AB} \alpha^A \alpha^B = 0, \tag{5.12}$$

$$U^{\Lambda}(\alpha) = 1 \tag{5.13}$$

read as

$$\eta_{ab}\hat{\alpha}^a\hat{\alpha}^b = -(\hat{\alpha}^0)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1}(\hat{\alpha}^i) = 0, \tag{5.14}$$

$$U^{\Lambda}(\alpha) = qe^0(\alpha) = qe^0_A e^A_b \hat{\alpha}^b = q\hat{\alpha}^0 = 1. \tag{5.15}$$

These equations imply [6]

$$\hat{\alpha}^0 = q^{-1}, \qquad \hat{\alpha}^i = q^{-1}n^i, \tag{5.16}$$

$i = 1, \ldots, N-1$, where the vector $\vec{n} = (n^i) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ has the unit length: $\vec{n}^2 = 1$, i.e. $\vec{n} \in S^{N-2}$. Relations (5.11) and (5.16) define a one-to-one correspondence between the points of the Kasner sphere S^{N-2} and Kasner sets α.

Now we define a vector $\vec{v} = (v_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ by formula

$$v_i = -\hat{U}_i/\hat{U}_0, \tag{5.17}$$

$i = 1, \ldots, N-1$ [6]. Since

$$\eta^{ab}\hat{U}_a\hat{U}_b = -(\hat{U}_0)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1}(\hat{U}_i)^2 = (U, U) > 0, \tag{5.18}$$

we get $|\vec{v}| > 1$. Using relations (5.9), (5.11), (5.16) and (5.17), we obtain

$$U(\alpha) = U_A\alpha^A = \hat{U}_a\hat{\alpha}^a = q^{-1}\hat{U}_0(1 - \vec{v}\vec{n}). \tag{5.19}$$

Due to (5.19) and $\hat{U}_0 > 0$, the following equivalences take place

$$U(\alpha) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \vec{v}\vec{n} < 1, \tag{5.20}$$

$$U(\alpha) < 0 \Leftrightarrow \vec{v}\vec{n} > 1. \tag{5.21}$$

Since the asymptotical Kasner sets $\alpha_\infty = \alpha(\vec{n}_\infty)$ and $\alpha_0 = \alpha(\vec{n}_0)$ obey the inequalities $U(\alpha_\infty) < 0$ and $U(\alpha_0) > 0$, we get

$$\vec{v}\vec{n}_0 < 1, \qquad \vec{v}\vec{n}_\infty > 1. \tag{5.22}$$

Geometrically, this means that the endpoint of the vector $\vec{n}_0$ is not illuminated by a point-like source of light located at the endpoint of the vector $\vec{v}$

(i.e. the endpoint of $\vec{n}_0$ belongs to the "shadow side" of the Kasner sphere), while the endpoint of the vector $\vec{n}_\infty$ is illuminated by this source.

Using the definitions (5.9) and (5.11) we rewrite the scattering law formula (1.5) (with $U^s = U$) in a (equivalent) "frame representation"

$$\hat{\alpha}'^a = \frac{\hat{\alpha}^a - 2\hat{U}(\hat{\alpha})\hat{U}^a (U,U)^{-1}}{1 - 2\hat{U}(\hat{\alpha})(U, U^\Lambda)(U,U)^{-1}}. \tag{5.23}$$

where $\hat{U}(\hat{\alpha}) = \hat{U}_a \hat{\alpha}^a = U(\alpha)$ is given by (5.19) and

$$\hat{U}^a = e^a_A U^A = \eta^{ab}\hat{U}_b. \tag{5.24}$$

Formula (5.23) is satisfied identically for $a = 0$, due to relations (5.10), (5.16) and $\hat{U}^0 = -\hat{U}_0$. It may be verified using (5.10), (5.16)-(5.19) and $\hat{U}^i = \hat{U}_i$, $i > 0$, that for $a = i > 0$ relation (5.23) coincides with (5.1). Thus, we proved the formula (5.1) with $\vec{n} = \vec{n}_0$ and $\vec{n'} = \vec{n}_\infty$.

It follows from (5.1) that

$$\vec{n'} - \vec{v} = B(\vec{n} - \vec{v}), \tag{5.25}$$

where $B = (\vec{v}^2 - 1)/(\vec{v} - \vec{n})^2 > 0$. Thus, the endpoints of the vectors $\vec{v}$, $\vec{n'}$ and $\vec{n}$ belong to one line. Hence, the endpoint of the vector $\vec{n'}$ may be obtained as a point of intersection of the Kasner sphere with the line connecting the endpoints of the vectors $\vec{v}$ and $\vec{n}$. Thus, the scattering law transformation (5.1) is just an inversion with respect to a point v located outside the Kasner sphere S^{N-2}. The point v is the endpoint of the vector $\vec{v}$. This transformation map the "shadow domain" of Kasner sphere onto "illuminated domain".

Remark. We remind that according to an analysis carried out in [6] the solution under consideration is described for $\tau \to 0$ by a geodesic motion of a point-like particle in a billiard. This billiard belongs to the Lobachevsky space H^{N-1} identified with the unit ball $D^{N-1} = \{\vec{y} : |\vec{y}| < 1\}$. It is described by the relation: $|\vec{y} - \vec{v}| > r$, where $r = \sqrt{\vec{v}^2 - 1}$. The billiard wall is a part (belonging to D^{N-1}) of a $(N-2)$-dimensional sphere with a center in the endpoint of $\vec{v}$ and radius r.

6 Example: $D = 11$ supergravity

Now we consider, as an example, $D = 11$ supergravity [18]. The bosonic sector action reads in this case as

$$S = \int d^{11}z\sqrt{|g|}\Big\{R[g] - \frac{1}{4!}F^2\Big\} + c\int_M A \wedge F \wedge F \tag{6.1}$$

where $F = dA$ is a 4-form and constant c is irrelevant for our consideration. Since the second term in (6.1) (called as Chern-Simons term) does not depend upon a metric, the Hilbert-Einstein equations are not changed when it is omitted . The only modification of equations of motion is related to Maxwell-type equations

$$d * F = \text{const } F \wedge F. \tag{6.2}$$

Due to relations for F in (2.5) and (2.6) we get $F \wedge F = 0$. Thus, the solution from the Section 2 in the special case $D = 11$, $w = -1$, $l = 0$ (i.e. when scalar fields are absent) and $m = 4$ gives us either $SM2$- or $SM5$-brane solution in 11-dimensional supergravity (see also [13] and references therein).

In this case $\alpha = (\alpha^i)$ and the relations on Kasner parameters (1.3) and (1.4) read

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i\alpha^i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i(\alpha^i)^2 = 1. \tag{6.3}$$

For $U = (U_i)$ we get $(U,U) = 2$, $(U,U^{\Lambda}) = -\frac{1}{9}d(I)$ and $U^i = \delta^i_I - \frac{1}{9}d(I)$. Here $d(I) = 3$ for electric $SM2$-brane and $d(I) = 6$ for magnetic $SM5$-brane.

Thus, the scattering law (4.32) for SM-branes reads as follows

$$\alpha^i_{\infty} = \frac{\alpha^i_0 - (\delta^i_I - \frac{1}{9}d(I))U(\alpha_0)}{1 + \frac{1}{9}U(\alpha_0)d(I)}. \tag{6.4}$$

where

$$U(\alpha_0) = \sum_{i \in I} d_i\alpha^i_0 > 0. \tag{6.5}$$

In terms of Kasner sphere parametrization of α-parameters the scattering law relation (6.4) is given by the formula (5.1) with $\vec{n} = \vec{n}_0$, $\vec{n'} = \vec{n}_{\infty}$ and $N = n$.

Using $(U,U) = 2$ and (5.10) we get $\vec{v}^2 = 21$ in the electric case and $\vec{v}^2 = 6$ in the magnetic case [6]. This means that illuminated part of the Kasner sphere S^n (containing endpoints of $\vec{n}_\infty$) is larger in the electric case, while the shadow domain (containing endpoints of $\vec{n}_0$) is larger in the magnetic case.

7 Conclusions

We have considered the exact S-brane solution with one brane (either electric or magnetic) to field equations corresponding to the action (2.1) containing l scalar fields and one antisymmetric form of rank $m \geq 2$ [15, 16]. This solution is defined on the product manifold (2.2) containing n Ricci-flat factor spaces $M_1, ..., M_n$.

In the case when the matrix $(h_{\alpha\beta})$ is positive definite we have singled out a special solution governed by *cosh* moduli function. We have shown that this solution has Kasner-like asymptotics in the limits $u \to \pm\infty$, where u is the harmonic time variable, or, equivalently, in the limits $\tau \to +0$ and $\tau \to +\infty$, where τ is the synchronous time variable.

We have found a relation between two sets of Kasner parameters α_∞ and α_0. Remarkably, the relation between them $\alpha_\infty = S(\alpha_0)$ is coinciding with the "collision law" formula from [5]. We have also clarified the geometrical sense of the scattering law. Namely, we have expressed the scattering law transformation in terms of a function mapping a "shadow" part of the Kasner sphere S^{N-2} onto "illuminated" one. This function is just an inversion with respect to a point v located outside the Kasner sphere S^{N-2}. The shadow and illuminated parts of the Kasner sphere are defined w.r.t. a point-like source of light located at v.

We have also written explicit formulae for scattering law transformations corresponding to $SM2$- and $SM5$-brane solutions in 11-dimensional supergravity.

Appendix

Let us prove the inequality (4.11)

$$|(s, U^{\Lambda})| > \frac{|(U^{\Lambda}, U)|}{(U,U)} > 0,$$

for a vector $s = (s^A) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N = n + l$) obeying relations $(s, U) = 0$, $(s, s) = -1/(U, U)$. Here the scalar-product $(U, U') = \bar{G}^{AB} U_A U'_B$, is defined by the matrix $(\bar{G}^{AB})$ from (3.8) with a positive definite matrix $(h_{\alpha\beta})$ and $G^{ij} = \delta^{ij} d_i^{-1} + (2-D)^{-1}$. We also use here the following relations $(U, U) > 0$, $(U^{\Lambda}_A) = (d_i, 0)$ and $(U^{\Lambda}, U^{\Lambda}) < 0$.

Proof. Let us define the vector

$$U_1 = U - \frac{(U, U^{\Lambda})}{(U^{\Lambda}, U^{\Lambda})} U^{\Lambda}. \tag{A.1}$$

It is clear that $(U_1, U^{\Lambda}) = 0$ and

$$(U_1, U_1) = (U, U) - \frac{(U, U^{\Lambda})^2}{(U^{\Lambda}, U^{\Lambda})} > 0. \tag{A.2}$$

since $(U, U) > 0$ and $(U^{\Lambda}, U^{\Lambda}) < 0$. Let us define vectors:

$$s_0 = \frac{(s, U^{\Lambda})}{(U^{\Lambda}, U^{\Lambda})} U^{\Lambda}, \tag{A.3}$$

$$s_1 = \frac{(s, U_1)}{(U_1, U_1)} U_1, \tag{A.4}$$

$$s_2 = s - s_0 - s_1. \tag{A.5}$$

s_0, s_1 and s_2 are mutually orthogonal and hence

$$(s, s) = (s_0, s_0) + (s_1, s_1) + (s_2, s_2). \tag{A.6}$$

For the first two terms in r.h.s. of (A.6) we get

$$(s_0, s_0) = \frac{(s, U^{\Lambda})^2}{(U^{\Lambda}, U^{\Lambda})}, \tag{A.7}$$

$$(s_1, s_1) = \frac{(s, U_1)^2}{(U_1, U_1)} = \frac{(s, U^{\Lambda})^2}{(U^{\Lambda}, U^{\Lambda})} \frac{(U, U^{\Lambda})^2}{[(U, U)(U^{\Lambda}, U^{\Lambda}) - (U, U^{\Lambda})^2]} \tag{A.8}$$

that implies

$$(s,s) = \frac{(s,U^{\Lambda})^2 (U,U)}{(U,U)(U^{\Lambda},U^{\Lambda}) - (U,U^{\Lambda})^2} + (s_2,s_2). \qquad \text{(A.9)}$$

For the third term in r.h.s. of (A.6) the following inequality is valid

$$(s_2,s_2) \geq 0, \qquad \text{(A.10)}$$

Indeed, due to $(s_2,U^{\Lambda}) = 0$, or, equivalently, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_2^i d_i = 0$, and the positive definiteness of the matrix $(h_{\alpha\beta})$, we obtain

$$(s_2,s_2) = G_{AB} s_2^A s_2^B = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (s_2^i)^2 d_i + h_{\alpha\beta} s_2^{\alpha} s_2^{\beta} \geq 0. \qquad \text{(A.11)}$$

Using this inequality, (A.9), $(U^{\Lambda},U^{\Lambda}) < 0$ and $(s,s) = -1/(U,U)$ we get

$$(s,U^{\Lambda})^2 = [\frac{(U,U^{\Lambda})^2}{(U,U)} - (U^{\Lambda},U^{\Lambda})][(U,U)^{-1} + (s_2,s_2)] > \frac{(U,U^{\Lambda})^2}{(U,U)^2} > 0, \qquad \text{(A.12)}$$

that is equivalent to the inequality (4.11). Thus, (4.11) is proved.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research grants Nr. 05-02-17478 and Nr. $07-02-13624-ofi_{ts}$.

References

[1] V.N. Melnikov, "Multidimensional Classical and Quantum Cosmology and Gravitation, Exact Solutions and Variations of Constants." CBPF-NF-051/93, Rio de Janeiro, 1993;
V.N. Melnikov, in: "Cosmology and Gravitation", ed. M. Novello, Editions Frontieres, Singapore, 1994, p. 147.

[2] V.N. Melnikov, "Multidimensional Cosmology and Gravitation", CBPF-MO-002/95, Rio de Janeiro, 1995, 210 p.;
V.N. Melnikov, In: *Cosmology and Gravitation. II*, ed. M. Novello, Editions Frontieres, Singapore, 1996, p. 465.

[3] V.N.Melnikov, "Exact Solutions in Multidimensional Gravity and Cosmology III." CBPF-MO-03/02, Rio de Janeiro, 2002, 297 pp.

[4] V.N. Melnikov, Gravity as a Key Problem of the Millennium. Proc. 2000 NASA/JPL Conference on Fundamental Physics in Microgravity, CD-version, NASA Document D-21522, 2001, p. 4.1-4.17, (Solvang, CA, USA); gr-qc/0007067.

[5] V.D. Ivashchuk, On exact solutions in multidimensional gravity with antisymmetric forms, In: Proceedings of the 18th Course of the School on Cosmology and Gravitation: The Gravitational Constant. Generalized Gravitational Theories and Experiments (30 April-10 May 2003, Erice). Ed. by G.T. Gillies, V.N. Melnikov and V. de Sabbata, (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2004), pp. 39-64; gr-qc/0310114.

[6] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Billiard representation for multidimensional cosmology with intersecting p-branes near the singularity, *J. Math. Phys.*, **41**, No 8, 6341-6363 (2000); hep-th/9904077.

[7] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Sigma-model for the Generalized Composite p-branes, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14**, 3001-3029 (1997); Corrigenda *ibid.* **15** , 3941 (1998); hep-th/9705036.

[8] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Exact solutions in multidimensional gravity with antisymmetric forms, topical review, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **18**, R82-R157 (2001); hep-th/0110274.

[9] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Multidimensional classical and quantum cosmology with intersecting p-branes, *J. Math. Phys.*, **39**, 2866-2889 (1998); hep-th/9708157.

[10] T. Damour and M. Henneaux, Chaos in Superstring Cosmology, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 920-923 (2000); hep-th/000313.

[11] H. Dehnen, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Billiard representation for multidimensional multi-scalar cosmological model with exponential potentials, *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, 36, N 7, 1563-1578 (2004); hep-th/0312317.

[12] V.D. Ivashchuk and S.-W. Kim, Solutions with intersecting p-branes related to Toda chains, *J. Math. Phys.*, **41** (1) 444-460 (2000); hep-th/9907019.

[13] C.M. Chen, D.M. Gal'tsov and M. Gutperle, S-brane solutions in supergravity theories; *Phys. Rev.* **D 66**, 024043 (2002); hep-th/0204071.

[14] V.D. Ivashchuk, Composite S-brane solutions related to Toda-type systems, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20**, 261-276 (2003); hep-th/0208101.

[15] V.D. Ivashchuk, On composite S-brane solutions with orthogonal intersection rules, hep-th/0309027.

[16] V.D. Ivashchuk, S-brane solutions with orthogonal intersection rules (invited paper to a fest of A. Garsia), *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **37**, 751-758 (2005); arXiv: 0705.0881.

[17] V.D. Ivashchuk, V.N. Melnikov and A.I. Zhuk, *Nuovo Cimento* **B 104**, 575 (1989).

[18] E. Cremmer, B. Julia and J. Scherk, *Phys. Lett.* **B 76**, 409 (1978).